IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 4TH JANUARY 2007 / 14TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 281 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

M.C. KUTTICHAN, ARMED POLICE SUB
INSPECTOR, K.A.P.4 BATTALION,
MANGATTUPARAMBA.

BY ADV. SRI.DEEPU THANKAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
ARMED POLICE BATTALION & TRAFFIC PLANNING
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
ARMED POLICE BATTALION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE COMMANDANT, KAP 4 BATTALION,
MANGATTUPARAMBA.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO.281 of 2007

Dated this the 4th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Heard both sides.

2. The petitioner is working as Armed Police Sub Inspector, KAP-4 Battalion, Mangattuparamba. It is contended that if he is given promotion as Armed Police Sub Inspector with effect from the date of occurrence of vacancy, i.e.13.3.1988, instead of 9.9.1988, he will be able to work out his service prospects which would bring more benefits to him and will avoid stagnation in service. The question whether the petitioner is entitled to get assignment of promotion with effect from 13.3.1988 has to be considered, primarily, by the first respondent with reference to relevant records in the light of Ext.P4 representation pending with him. Earlier, another petition (Ext.P2 representation) which he had filed was forwarded to the first respondent as per Ext.P3.

2. The first respondent will look into Exts.P2, P3 and P4 and take appropriate decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Ordered accordingly. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the

judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the first respondent for compliance.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/